

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/821,003	03/20/97	DAVIDOVICI		S	GBT122US
-		OCM4 /4.000	EXAMINER		
DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOCIATES				GLUCK,	Ј
CENTENNIAL SQUARE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 272 LA PLATA MI	28) 20646-2728) }	•	2614	5
		•		DATE MAILED:	10/28/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/821,003

Sorin Davidovici

Office Action Summary Examiner

Jeffrey Gluck

Group Art Unit 2614



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
☐ This action is FINAL .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 G.						
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	n the period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claims						
	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)						
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-S	948.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ap	proved Edisapproved.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
received.						
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)						
\square received in this national stage application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:	·					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413						
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948						
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
SEE DEFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING	BAGES					

5

Page 2

Serial Number: 08/821,003

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 12, ", the pilot-chip-sequence signal and the data-chip-sequence signal being different from each other" should be added following "a data-chip-sequence signal", to clarify. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Serial Number: 08/821,003 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985) *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321[®] may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 28-33 and 9-14, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 5,627,855. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims from U.S. Patent No. 5,627,855 contain all of the limitations of the present claims, while also containing some additional limitations in the independent claims (i.e, Claims 28 and 9 of the patent); in fact, except for the slightly more stringent limitations of the patent claims, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the sets of claims.

Serial Number: 08/821,003 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-12 contain allowable subject matter, for the same reasons the subject matter in the corresponding claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,627,855 was found to be allowable (note Applicant's Amendment A for the arguments for allowability, which were found to be convincing). These claims, however, remain rejected pending the overcoming of the double patenting rejections made above.

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051 (-9052), (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5403 (for informal or draft communications; please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2614

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Gluck whose telephone number is (703) 308-5462.

Any inquiry of a general nature or regarding the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2600